SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/08 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): San Diego County Consortium State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$54,611,470 PJ's Size Grouping*: A PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group A | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 92 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 80.38 % | 92.79 % | 82 | 92.82 % | 4 | 5 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 70.71 % | 81.26 % | 77 | 82.38 % | 4 | 9 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 12.36 | 5.53 | 1 | 4.55 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 78.99 % | 73.66 % | 41 | 80.69 % | 33 | 25 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 100.00 % | 60.31 % | 1 | 67.01 % | 100 | 100 |
| _ow-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 77.16 % | 77.64 % | 55 | 79.23 % | 39 | 32 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 34.52 % | 41.54 % | 56 | 44.47 % | 26 | 31 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.35 % | 91.23 % | 34 | 93.93 % | 58 | 49 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | ate: 17 / 92 | Nation | ally: 60 | 63 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$25,877 | \$31,525 | | \$24,984 | 788 Units | 41.60 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$26,564 | \$19,017 | | \$14,192 | 311 Units | 16.40 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$15,804 | \$26,126 | | \$20,036 | 113 Units | 6.00 |
| TBRA Unit | \$10,024 | \$2,779 | | \$3,164 | 684 Units | 36.10 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): San Diego County Consortium CA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in **HOME-assisted projects)**

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$163,926 \$117,655 \$86,663

Homebuyer \$173,388 \$105,717 \$70,545

Homeowner \$17,116 \$26,671 \$22,663 **CHDO Operating Expenses:**

(% of allocation)

PJ: **National Avg:**

1.04

0.0 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|---|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| White: | 40.8 | 50.2 | 88.5 | 40.6 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 12.1 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 44.0 |
| Black/African American: | 14.4 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 18.3 | Elderly: | 21.2 | 3.2 | 63.7 | 9.5 |
| Asian: | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | Related/Single Parent: | 30.4 | 22.5 | 5.3 | 33.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | Related/Two Parent: | 30.8 | 48.9 | 10.6 | 8.9 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | Other: | 5.5 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 6.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 35.5 | 40.2 | 9.7 | 36.7 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 23.7 | 16.1 | 59.3 | 38.2 | Section 8: | 16.3 | 1.3 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 25.1 | 17.0 | 22.1 | 19.2 | HOME TBRA: | 4.2 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 19.5 | 17.4 | 8.0 | 16.2 | Other: | 3.9 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 15.9 | 16.1 | 8.0 | 14.2 | No Assistance: | 75.6 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 10.2 | 16.4 | 2.7 | 8.3 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 3.6 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 1.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | | | | | |

0.6

8 or more Persons:

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001



65

1.1

3.5

0.0

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM — **SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

San Diego County Consortium **Group Rank:** State: CA 60 **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):** (Percentile)

State Rank: 92 PJs **Overall Rank:** 63 (Percentile)

Summary: Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 78.99 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 46.46% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 77.16 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.25% | 98.35 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.300 | 3.93 | ۳ |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.